

Work-Related Road Safety Task Group
Discussion Document
Preventing At-work Road Traffic Incidents



Response from
The Motor Schools Association of Great Britain
101 Wellington Road North, Stockport, Cheshire SK4 2LP
Tel: 0161 429 9669 Fax: 0161 429 9779 Email: mail@msagb.co.uk

May 2001

If you are replying on behalf of an organisation, please say what it does

The Motor Schools Association of Great Britain (MSA) is the national trade association for driving instructors and schools founded in 1935. Members of the association are in the main Driving Standards Agency Approved Driving Instructors (ADI). We also have a partnership with the Institute of Large Goods Vehicle Driving Instructors (ILGVDI), and represent their interests together with those of prospective driving instructors (PDIs) and a number of bus/coach instructors and motorcycle instructors.

The principal aims of the association are to provide – information, representation and services to members.

Please note that this response is solely on behalf of the MSA and does not represent the views of The Motorists' Forum who have been represented on the Task Group by MSA general manager John Lepine.

Question 1: Given the evidence, do you agree that action needs to be taken to reduce the incidence of at work road traffic incidents?

Yes

If you agree, what action should be taken and why?

We believe that the Task Groups central proposition - *that employers should manage the risks associated with at-work road journeys and other on-the-road work activities within the framework they should have in place for managing health and safety within their firms* – is the best way forward

Question 2: Do you think that health and safety management systems, if applied to at-work road risk, could reduce at-work road traffic incidents?

Yes

If so, what practical suggestions can you offer on what should comprise such systems and why?

Question 3:

Do you think that there should be specific training and/or testing for occupational drivers/riders?

Yes

Why? What form should this take and to whom should it apply?



Question 4: Guidance or Code?

We have no fixed view about the preferred status of the core document: an HSE ACoP, guidance under the *Highway Code explained* series or HSE generic guidance. Which of the approaches do you think is the right one and why? Would different approaches work in different sectors?

If it is none of these what would you like to see happen?



Question 5:

Looking at annex 3, does it address all the issues you would like to see covered?

Yes No

If not, what would you like to see omitted or included?



Question 6:

What suggestions do you have about how best to get employers, particularly those running very small businesses, and the self-employed to adopt good practice guidelines?



Question 7:

What  ents for enforcement do you consider the best and why?

Question 8:

Do you think that employers should be required to report at-work road traffic incidents?

Yes

If so, what should be reported, and to whom?

Fatalities

Major injuries

Over 3-day injuries

,

Question 9:

Is there any further action you would like to see taken (eg detailed guidance, campaigns, enforcement, research etc) and by whom?



Question 10:

In your view how well does this Discussion Document represent the different policy issues involved in this matter?

Very well "

Well "

Not well "

Poorly "



Question 11:

Is there anything you particularly liked or disliked about this consultation exercise?

